

Research methodology in the domain of sport management: preliminary results of the current state

Anne-Line Balduck, University of Ghent

Ann Parmentier, Vlerick Leuven Gent Management School

Marc Buelens, University of Ghent

Introduction

Several studies have assessed the body of knowledge of sport management (Barber, Parkhouse & Tedrick, 2001; Chelladurai, 1992; Danylchuk & Judd, 1996; Olafson, 1990; Olafson 1995; Paton, 1987; Parks, 1992; Pitts, 2001). As Earle F. Zeigler noted in 1987 'we must keep in mind that a recognized profession needs an organized body of knowledge based on research'. (Zeigler, 1987, p 10) In this study we measure the current status of research methodology in sport management. We focus on the methodology, not on the content, used in the leading publications of the field.

Method

For the period 1999-2003, we coded all articles from the European Sport Management Quarterly (ESMQ), Journal of Sport Management (JSM) and Sport Management Review (SMR), omitting commentaries and book reviews. The articles were coded by two independent researchers. The coding dimensions were modified and adapted from Olafson (1990), Podsakoff & Dalton (1987), and Scandura & Williams (2000).

Results

A total of 185 articles were reviewed, with 79 articles from JSM; 59 from ESMQ and 47 from SMR. 31.4% of the studies employed sample survey as the primary research strategy. 22.7% of data were collected from case studies, 9.2% are based on field studies (primary and secondary data) and 8.6% from other approaches (computer simulation, laboratory experiment,...) 28.1% of the articles did not report empirically based research. These were descriptive, conceptual or based on a formal theory, and were excluded for further analysis, leaving 133 articles.

Method of data collection

Questionnaires (30.7%) and interviews (22.4%) are most often used. 19.9% used archival data. Simulations, field experiments, observations, focus groups,... are classified as other (21%).

Level of analysis

46.6% of the research published is at the individual level and 30.8% at the organizational level. None of the other units of analysis (group, sector, society) reaches 5%

Type of analysis

Descriptive analysis on the one hand (30.3%) and multivariate techniques at the other (30%) are used in over a third of the studies. Analysis of variance (36.5%) and regression analysis (32.9%) are the most reported multivariate types of data analysis. Chi-square is the most used non-parametric test (60.9%). There is a modest amount of qualitative research (19.7%).

Construct validity

14.1% of the coded articles did not report the use of a construct validation and in 35.7 % of the cases a construct was not applicable. Of the studies referring to construct validity, reliability (33%) and factor analysis (14%) are most used. Cronbach alpha is the most reported reliability construct (88.5%).

Time frame of study

Only 10.5% of the studies used longitudinal research.

Discussion

Compared to previous studies of the field, there is some improvement. Pure descriptive studies are less dominant. However, sound empirical research is still lacking. There is scarce attention to problems of internal and external validity, power of statistical tests, construct validity etc. Choices of research design are very conservative. It might be useful for researchers to step outside the dominant practices of their areas and try some techniques and methods employed in other areas.

Researchers have to be aware of there methodological choices. As Podsakoff and Dalton mentioned, “people do what they know, do what they have done, do what is efficient and do what is rewarded”. Being aware of our choices is the first step to the improvement of our field.

References

- Barber, E.H., Parkhouse, B.L. & Tedrick, T. 2001. A critical review of the methodology of published research in the journal of sport management from 1991 through 1995 as measured by selected criteria. In: International journal of sport management, 2(3), 216-236
- Chelladurai P. 1992. Sport management: opportunities and obstacles. In: Journal of sport management, 6, 215-219
- Danylchuk K.E., Judd M.R. 1996. Journal of sport management readership survey. In: journal of sport management, 10, 188-196
- Olafson G. A. 1990. Research design in sport management: What’s missing, what’s needed? In: journal of sport management, 4, 103-120.
- Olafson G.A. 1995. Sport management research: ordered change. In: journal of sport management, 9, 338-345
- Parks J.B. 1992. Scholarship: The other “bottom line” in sport management. In: Journal of sport management, 6, 220-229
- Paton G. 1987. Sport management research- what progress has been made? In: Journal of sport management, 1, 25-31
- Pitts B.G. 2001. Sport management at the millennium: a defining moment. In: Journal of sport management, 15, 1-9
- Podsakoff P.M., Dalton D.R. 1987. Research methodology in organizational studies. In: Journal of management, 13(2), 419-441.
- Scandura T.A. & Williams E.A. 2000. Research methodology in management: current practices, trends, and implications for future research. In: Academy of management journal, 43(6), 1248-1264
- Zeigler E. F. 1987. Sport management: Past, present, future. In: Journal of sport management, 1, 4-24

Scientific paper presented for oral presentation.

Contact

- Anne-Line Balduck, Hoger Instituut voor Lichamelijke Opvoeding, Watersportlaan 2, 9000 Gent (Belgium); Anneline.Balduck@Ugent.Be
- Ann Parmentier, Vlerick managementschool, Reep 1, 9000 Gent (Belgium); Ann.Parmentier@Vlerick.be
- Marc Buelens, Economie en Bedrijfskunde, Hoveniersberg 24, 9000 Gent (Belgium); Marc.Buelens@Ugent.Be

Appendix

Table 1
Results of the methodological study

| Coding dimensions | Results (%) |
|--|--------------------|
| Research strategy | |
| Sample survey | 31.4 |
| Case study | 22.7 |
| Field study: primary data | 4.3 |
| Field study: secondary data | 4.9 |
| Formal theory/ conceptual/ descriptive | 28.1 |
| Other (computer simulation, laboratory experiment,...) | 8.6 |
| | |
| Method of data collection | |
| Questionnaire | 30.7 |
| Interview | 22.4 |
| Other (simulation, field experiment. observation,...) | 21 |
| Archival | 19.9 |
| Not applicable / not clear / not reported | 6 |
| | |
| Level of analysis | |
| Individual | 46.6 |
| Organization | 30.8 |
| Group | 4.5 |
| Sector | 4.5 |
| Society | 4.5 |
| Other | 6.8 |
| Not applicable | 2.3 |
| | |
| Type of analysis | |
| Qualitative | 19.7 |
| Descriptive | 30.3 |
| T-tests | 5.6 |
| Non-parametric tests | 8.1 |
| Multivariate techniques | 30 |
| Other | 4.5 |
| Not applicable | 1.8 |
| | |
| Construct validation | |
| Confirmatory/ exploratory factor analysis | 14 |
| Reliability | 33 |
| Discriminant/convergent/predictive validity | 1.9 |
| Interrated reliability | 1.3 |
| Not reported | 14.1 |
| Not applicable | 35.7 |
| | |
| Internal validity: time frame of studies | |
| Cross-sectional | 83.5 |
| Longitudinal | 10.5 |
| Not applicable | 6.0 |